



Southern University of
Science and Technology

Berry-Essen Bounds of Nonnormal Approximation for Bounded and Unbounded Exchangeable Pairs [Shao and Zhang, 2019]

Hongxia Jin
Yixuan Liu

2021/05/28

SUSTech



- 1 Introduction
 - Exchangeable Pairs
 - Stein's Method via Density Approach
- 2 Main Results
 - Nonnormal Approximation for Unbounded Exchangeable Pairs
 - Nonnormal Approximation for Bounded Exchangeable Pairs
- 3 Application
 - Quadratic Forms

Exchangeable Pairs



Definition 1.1

(W, W') is said to be an **exchangeable pair** if

$$(W, W') \stackrel{d.}{=} (W', W)$$

for which (W, W') and (W', W) have the same joint distribution.

A **key fact** about an exchangeable pair (W, W') is that for any asymmetric $h(x, y)$, that is $h(x, y) = -h(y, x)$, we have

$$\mathbb{E}h(W, W') = 0$$

by noting that $\mathbb{E}h(W, W') = \mathbb{E}h(W, W') = -\mathbb{E}h(W, W')$.

Exchangeable Pairs



When we assume that (W, W') is an exchangeable pair and let $\Delta = W - W'$, another **key fact** is that for any f ,

$$E(f(W)E(\Delta | W)) = \frac{1}{2} E \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f'(W+t)\Delta (\mathbb{1}_{\{-\Delta \leq t < 0\}} - \mathbb{1}_{\{0 \leq t < -\Delta\}}) dt \quad (1)$$

Proof. Consider $h(w, w') = (w - w')(f(w) + f(w'))$, which is an asymmetric function. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= E h(W, W') = E [(W - W')(f(W) + f(W'))] \\ &= 2E[\Delta f(W)] - E[\Delta(f(W) - f(W - \Delta))] \\ &= 2E[f(W)E(\Delta | W)] - E \left[\Delta \int_{-\Delta}^0 f'(W+t) dt \right] \\ &= 2E[f(W)E(\Delta | W)] - E \left[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f'(W+t)\Delta (\mathbb{1}_{\{-\Delta \leq t < 0\}} - \mathbb{1}_{\{0 \leq t < -\Delta\}}) dt \right] \end{aligned}$$

The Stein Characterization via Density Approach



Let Y be a random variable with the probability density function $p(y)$. Assume that $p(y) > 0$ for $-\infty < y < \infty$. Let f be an absolutely continuous function satisfying $\lim_{y \rightarrow \pm\infty} p(y)f(y) = 0$, we have

$$\mathbb{E} \{ (f(Y)p(Y))' / p(Y) \} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (f(y)p(y))' dy = 0$$

Stein's identity:

$$\mathbb{E}(f(Y)p(Y))' / p(Y) = 0$$

For any measurable function h with $\mathbb{E}\|h(Y)\| < \infty$, let $f = f_h$ be the solution to the Stein equation:

$$(f(w)p(w))' / p(w) = h(w) - \mathbb{E}h(Y) \quad (2)$$



1 Introduction

- Exchangeable Pairs
- Stein's Method via Density Approach

2 Main Results

- Nonnormal Approximation for Unbounded Exchangeable Pairs
- Nonnormal Approximation for Bounded Exchangeable Pairs

3 Application

- Quadratic Forms

Nonnormal Approximation with Exchangeable Pairs



In this section, we are going to review the Berry-Essen bounds for non-normal approximation with both bounded and unbounded exchangeable pairs.

Let W be a random variable satisfying $P(a < W < b) = 1$ where $-\infty \leq a \leq \infty$. Let (W, W') be an exchangeable pair satisfying

$$E(W - W' \mid W) = \lambda(g(W) + R) \quad (3)$$

Nonnormal Approximation for Unbounded Exchangeable Pairs



Consider when g is a measurable function with domain (a, b) , $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ and R is a random variable.

Conditions of measurable function g

- A1 g is nondecreasing, and there exists $w_0 \in (a, b)$ such that $(w - w_0)g(w) \geq 0$ for $w \in (a, b)$;
- A2 g' is continuous and $2(g'(w))^2 - g(w)g''(w) \geq 0$ for all $w \in (a, b)$; and
- A3 $\lim_{y \downarrow a} g(y)p(y) = \lim_{y \uparrow b} g(y)p(y) = 0$, where

$$p(y) = c_1 e^{-G(y)}, \quad G(y) = \int_{w_0}^y g(t) dt \quad (4)$$

and c_1 is the constant so that $\int_a^b p(y) dy = 1$.

Berry-Essen Bound with Unbounded Exchangeable Pairs



Let Y be a random variable with the probability density function (p.d.f.) $p(y)$, and let $\Delta = W - W'$.

Theorem 2.1

We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}} |P(W \leq z) - P(Y \leq z)| \\ & \leq E \left| 1 - \frac{1}{2\lambda} E(\Delta^2 | W) \right| + \frac{1}{\lambda} E |E(\Delta \Delta^* | W)| + \frac{1}{c_1} E |R|, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where $\Delta^* := \Delta^*(W, W')$ is any random variable satisfying $\Delta^*(W, W') = \Delta^*(W', W)$ and $\Delta^* \geq |\Delta|$.

To make the bound meaningful, choose $\lambda \sim (1/2)E(\Delta^2)$.

Stein Equation and Solution Properties



Let Y be the random variable with the p.d.f. $p(y)$ defined in (4). For a given z , let $f := f_z$ be the solution to the following Stein equation:

$$f'(w) - g(w)f(w) = \mathbb{1}_{\{w \leq z\}} - F(z), \quad z \in (a, b) \quad (6)$$

where F is the distribution function of Y . It could be derived from (2), $(f(w)p(w))'/p(w) = h(w) - \mathbb{E}h(Y)$ with $h(w) = \mathbb{1}_{\{w \leq z\}}$.

$$f_z(w) = \begin{cases} \frac{F(w)(1-F(z))}{p(w)}, & w \leq z, \\ \frac{F(z)(1-F(w))}{p(w)}, & w > z \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

Stein Equation and Solution Properties



We first prove some **basic properties** of f_z .

Lemma 2.3 (Basic properties of f)

Suppose that conditions (A1)-(A3) are satisfied. Then

$$0 \leq f_z(w) \leq 1/c_1, \quad (8)$$

$$\|f'\| \leq 1, \quad (9)$$

$$\|gf_z\| \leq 1 \quad (10)$$

$$g(w)f_z(w) \text{ is nondecreasing} \quad (11)$$

For the normal approximation, it is known that $0 \leq f_z(w) \leq 1$.

Proof of Properties



Prove (8), $0 \leq f_z(w) \leq 1/c_1$:

Assume $a < 0 < b$ and $w_0 = 0$; thus $p(0) = c_1$. For $w \leq z$, define $H_z(w) = F(w)(1 - F(z)) - p(w)/c_1$. Noting that $f_z(w) \geq 0$ according to (7). It suffices to prove $\sup_{a < w < b} H_z(w) \leq 0$. Since $g(w)$ is nondecreasing and $H'_z = p(w)(1 - F(z) + g(w)/c_1)$ ($-g(w) = \frac{p'(w)}{p(w)}$), maximum only occurs at boundaries.

$$\sup_{a < w \leq z} H_z(w) = \max \{H_z(a), H_z(z)\}.$$

$H_z(a) = -p(a)/c_1 \leq 0$. It remains to prove $\sup_{a \leq z \leq b} H_z(z) \leq 0$. If $z \leq 0$, define $H_1(z) = F(z) - p(z)/c_1$, and thus

$$H'_1(z) = p(z)(1 + g(z)/c_1).$$



Note that $g(z) \leq 0$ and $g(\cdot)$ is nondecreasing, $H_1(a) = -p(a)/c_1$, $H_1(0) = F(z) - 1$, then

$$\sup_{a < z \leq 0} H_z(z) \leq \sup_{a < z \leq 0} H_1(z) \leq \max\{H_1(a), H_1(0)\} \leq 0$$

Using a similar argument, we also have $\sup_{0 \leq z < b} H_z(z) \leq 0$.

Therefore, $\sup_{a < z < b} H_z(z) \leq 0$. $\sup_{a \leq w \leq z} f_z(w) \leq 1/c_1$ is proved.

Similarly, we have $\sup_{z < w < b} f_z(w) \leq 1/c_1$. Similar procedures could be made for $z \geq 0$ when $\sup_{0 \leq z < b}$, and complete the proof of

$\sup_{a \leq w \leq z} f_z(2) \leq 1/c_1$ with $w > z$. Similarly for $w > z$,

$\sup_{z < w < b} f_z(w) \leq 1/c_1$.

Prove (11), $g(w)f_z(w)$ is nondecreasing:
 gf_z is nondecreasing. For $w \leq z$, by (7),

$$g(w)f_z(w) = \frac{g(w)F(w)(1 - F(z))}{p(w)}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (g(w)f_z(w))' &= (1 - F(z)) (g(w) + (g'(w) + g^2(w)) F(w)/p(w)) \\ &= \underbrace{\frac{c_1(1 - F(z))(g'(w) + g^2(w))}{p(w)}}_{\geq 0} \underbrace{\left(\tau(w) + \frac{F(w)}{c_1}\right)}_{WTP \geq 0} \end{aligned}$$

If $\tau(w) = \frac{g(w)e^{-G(w)}}{g'(w) + g^2(w)}$, by (A2) ($2(g'(w))^2 - g(w)g''(p(w)) \geq 0$),

$$-\tau'(w)e^{G(w)} = 1 - \left(\frac{2(g'(w))^2 - g''(w)g(w)}{(g'(w) + g^2(w))^2} \right) \leq 1.$$



Hence,

$$e^{-G(w)} + \tau'(w) \geq 0$$

and

$$0 \leq \int_a^w \left(\tau'(t) + e^{-G(t)} \right) dt = \tau(w) + \frac{1}{c_1} F(w) - \lim_{y \downarrow a} \tau(y)$$

Where

$$\int_a^w e^{-G(t)} dt = \int_w^a \frac{p(y)}{c_1} = \frac{1}{c_1} (F(w) - F(a)) = \frac{F(w)}{c_1}$$

By condition (A3), $\lim_{y \downarrow a} \tau(y) = 0$, and hence $\tau(w) + \frac{1}{c_1} F(w) \geq 0$. This proves that $(g(w)f_z(w))' \geq 0$ or $g(w)f_z(w)$ is nondecreasing for wz . Similarly for $w \geq z$.



Prove (10), $\|gf_z\| \leq 1$:

we have for $w \geq \max(z, 0)$,

$$g(w)f_z(w) = \frac{F(z)g(w) \int_w^b p(t)dt}{p(w)} \leq \frac{F(z) \int_w^b g(t)p(t)dt}{p(w)}$$

$$p(w) = \frac{c_1 e^{-G(w)} F(z) \int_w^b e^{-G(t)} g(t)dt}{e^{-G(w)}} = F(z) \left(\frac{e^{-G(w)} - e^{-G(b)}}{e^{-G(w)}} \right)$$

$$\leq F(z).$$

Similarly, we have $g(w)f_z(w) \geq -(1 - F(z))$ for $w \leq \min(0, z)$.
Combining with (11) yields

$$F(z) - 1 \leq g(w)f_z(w) \leq F(z) \quad (12)$$

for all w .



Prove (9), $\|f'\| \leq 1$: Prove of (9) follows from (6) and (12).

Where $\mathbb{1}_{\{w \leq z\}} - 1 \leq f'(w) \leq \mathbb{1}_{\{w \leq z\}}$. □

Proof of Theorem 2.1



Let $f = f_z$ be the solution to the Stein equation (7). (W, W') is an exchangeable pair, by (3), $E(W - W' | W) = \lambda(g(W) + R)$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= E((W - W')(f(W) + f(W'))) \\ &= 2E((W - W')f(W)) - E((W - W')(f(W) - f(W'))) \\ &= 2\lambda E(g(W)f(W)) + 2\lambda E(Rf(W)) - E\left(\Delta \int_{-\Delta}^0 f'(W+t)dt\right), \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$E(g(W)f(W)) = \frac{1}{2\lambda} E\left(\Delta \int_{-\Delta}^0 f'(W+t)dt\right) - E(Rf(W)).$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \mathbb{E}(f'(W) - g(W)f(W)) \\
 &= \mathbb{E}\left(f'(w) - \frac{1}{2\lambda}\Delta \int_{-\Delta}^0 (f'(w+t) - f'(w) + f'(w))dt + Rf(w)\right) \\
 &= \mathbb{E}\left(f'(W) \left(1 - \frac{1}{2\lambda}\mathbb{E}(\Delta^2 | W)\right)\right) \\
 &\quad - \underbrace{\frac{1}{2\lambda}\mathbb{E}\left(\Delta \int_{-\Delta}^0 (f'(W+t) - f'(W)) dt\right)}_{I_1} + \mathbb{E}(Rf(W))
 \end{aligned}$$

By (8), (9) and (10) and using Stein equation,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & |\mathbb{P}(W \leq z) - \mathbb{P}(Y \leq z)| = |\mathbb{E}(f'(W) - g(W)f(W))| \\
 & \leq |I_1| + 2\mathbb{E}\left|1 - \frac{1}{2\lambda}\mathbb{E}(\Delta^2 | W)\right| + \frac{1}{c_1}\mathbb{E}|R|, \tag{13}
 \end{aligned}$$





where

$$I_1 = \frac{1}{2\lambda} \mathbb{E} \left(\Delta \int_{-\Delta}^0 (f'(W+t) - f'(W)) dt \right) \quad (14)$$

Recalling that f is the solution to the Stein equation (3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \frac{1}{2\lambda} \mathbb{E} \left(\Delta \int_{-\Delta}^0 (g(W+t)f(W+t) - g(W)f(W)) dt \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2\lambda} \mathbb{E} \left(\Delta \int_{-\Delta}^0 (\mathbb{1}_{\{W+t \leq z\}} - \mathbb{1}_{\{W \leq z\}}) dt \right). \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Noting that $g(w)f(w)$ is **nondecreasing** by Lemma 2.3 and that the indicator function $\mathbb{1}_{\{w \leq z\}}$ is **nonincreasing**, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\geq \int_{-\Delta}^0 (g(W+t)f(W+t) - g(W)f(W))dt \\ &\geq -\Delta(g(W)f(W) - g(W-\Delta)f(W-\Delta)) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$0 \leq \int_{-\Delta}^0 (\mathbb{1}_{\{W+t \leq z\}} - \mathbb{1}_{\{W \leq z\}}) dt \leq \Delta (\mathbb{1}_{\{W-\Delta \leq z\}} - \mathbb{1}_{\{W \leq z\}})$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} h_1 &\leq \frac{1}{2\lambda} E(-\Delta \mathbb{1}_{\{\Delta < 0\}} \Delta (g(W)f(W) - g(W-\Delta)f(W-\Delta))) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2\lambda} E(\Delta \mathbb{1}_{\{\Delta > 0\}} \Delta (\mathbb{1}_{\{W-\Delta \leq z\}} - \mathbb{1}_{\{W \leq z\}})) \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$



Thus, for any $\Delta^* = \Delta^*(W, W') = \Delta^*(W', W) \geq |\Delta|$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{1}{2\lambda} E \left(-\Delta \mathbb{1}_{\{\Delta < 0\}} \Delta (g(W)f(W) - g(W - \Delta)f(W - \Delta)) \right) \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{2\lambda} E \left(\Delta^* \mathbb{1}_{\{\Delta < 0\}} \Delta (g(W)f(W) - g(W')f(W')) \right) \\
 & = \frac{1}{2\lambda} E \left(\Delta^* \Delta (\mathbb{1}_{\{\Delta < 0\}} + \mathbb{1}_{\{\Delta > 0\}}) g(W)f(W) \right) \quad (17) \\
 & = \frac{1}{2\lambda} E (\Delta \Delta^* g(W)f(W)) \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{2\lambda} E |E(\Delta \Delta^* | W)|
 \end{aligned}$$

$E(\Delta^* \Delta \mathbb{1}_{\{\Delta < 0\}} g(W')f(W')) = -E(\Delta^* \Delta \mathbb{1}_{\{\Delta > 0\}} g(W)f(W))$
 because of the exchangeability of W and W' , and $|g(w)f(w)| \leq 1$
 for all $w \in \mathbb{R}$.

Similarly we have

$$\frac{1}{2\lambda} \mathbb{E}(\Delta \mathbb{1}_{\{\Delta > 0\}} \Delta (\mathbb{1}_{\{W - \Delta \leq z\}} - \mathbb{1}_{\{W \leq z\}})) \leq \frac{1}{2\lambda} \mathbb{E} |\mathbb{E}(\Delta \Delta^* | W)|. \quad (18)$$

Combining (16), (17) and (18)

$$I_1 \leq \frac{1}{\lambda} \mathbb{E} |\mathbb{E}(\Delta \Delta^* | W)| \quad (19)$$

Following the same argument, we also have

$$I_1 \geq -\frac{1}{\lambda} \mathbb{E} |\mathbb{E}(\Delta \Delta^* | W)| \quad (20)$$

proved □

Normal Approximation

Normal approximation is a special case of the nonnormal with the difference for the Stein's solution can be bounded by 1.

Theorem 2.5 (Normal Approximation)

Let (W, W') be an exchangeable pair satisfying

$$E(\Delta \mid W) = \lambda(W + R) \quad (21)$$

for some constant $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ and random variable R , where $\Delta = W - W'$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}} |P(W \leq z) - \Phi(z)| \\ & \leq E \left| 1 - \frac{1}{2\lambda} E(\Delta^2 \mid W) \right| + E|R| + \frac{1}{\lambda} E |E(\Delta\Delta^* \mid W)| \end{aligned}$$

where $\Delta^* := \Delta^*(W, W')$ is any random variable satisfying $\Delta^*(W', W) = \Delta^*(W, W')$ and $\Delta^* \geq |\Delta|$.

Normal Approximation



Corollary 2.7

If $|\Delta| \leq \delta$ and $E|W| \leq 2$, then

$$\sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}} |P(W \leq z) - \Phi(z)| \leq E \left| 1 - \frac{1}{2\lambda} E(\Delta^2 | W) \right| + E|R| + 3\delta.$$

Proof. When $E|R| \geq 1$, LHS ≤ 1 holds. It remains to consider $E|R| < 1$. Let $\Delta^* = \delta \geq |\Delta|$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\lambda} E|E(\Delta\Delta^* | w)| &= \frac{1}{\lambda} E|\delta E(\Delta)| \\ &= \frac{\delta}{\lambda} E|\lambda(w + R)| = \delta E|w + R| \\ &\leq \delta(E|w| + E|R|) \\ &\leq 2\delta + \delta E|R| \\ &< 3\delta \end{aligned}$$

In comparison to

$$\sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}} |P(W \leq z) - \Phi(z)| \leq \mathbb{E} \left| 1 - \frac{1}{2\lambda} \mathbb{E}(\Delta^2 | W) \right| + \mathbb{E}|R| + 1.5\delta + \delta^3/\lambda \quad (22)$$

by Rinott and Rotar. When $|\Delta| \leq \delta$, and assuming $\mathbb{E}|W| \leq 2$, Corollary 2.7 is an improvement of (22)

$$\begin{aligned} & \min \left(1, \mathbb{E} \left| 1 - \frac{1}{2\lambda} \mathbb{E}(\Delta^2 | W) \right| + \delta \right) \\ & \leq 2 \min \left(1, \mathbb{E} \left| 1 - \frac{1}{2\lambda} \mathbb{E}(\Delta^2 | W) \right| + \delta^3/\lambda \right) \end{aligned}$$

Normal Approximation



It follows from the Cauchy inequality that for any $a > 0$,

$$|\Delta| \leq a/2 + \Delta^2/(2a)$$

Thus, we can choose $\Delta^* = a/2 + \Delta^2/(2a)$ with a proper constant a and obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 2.9

Assume that $E|W| \leq 2$. Then, under the condition of Theorem 2.1,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}} |P(W \leq z) - \Phi(z)| \\ & \leq E \left| 1 - \frac{1}{2\lambda} E(\Delta^2 | W) \right| + E|R| + 2\sqrt{\frac{E|E(\Delta^3 | W)|}{\lambda}} \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Similarly consider when $E|R| < 1$, $\Delta^* = \frac{a}{2} + \frac{\Delta^2}{2a}$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\lambda} E |E(\Delta\Delta^* | w)| &\leq \frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{a}{2} E|(\Delta | w)| + \frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{1}{2a} E |E(\Delta^3 | w)| \\ &= \frac{a}{2} E|w + R| + \frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{1}{2a} E |E(\Delta^3 | w)| \\ &\leq 2a + \frac{1}{2a} \frac{1}{\lambda} E |E(\Delta^3 | w)| \\ &\leq 2\sqrt{\frac{E |E(\Delta^3 | w)|}{\lambda}} \end{aligned}$$

In comparison to

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}} |P(W \leq z) - \Phi(z)| &\leq E \left| 1 - \frac{1}{2\lambda} E(\Delta^2 | W) \right| \\ &\quad + E|R| + \left(\frac{E|\Delta|^3}{\lambda} \right)^{1/2} \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

Clearly, $E |E(\Delta^3 | W)| \leq E|\Delta|^3$, Corollary 2.9 improves (23).

bounded Case

For any absolutely continuous function f for which the expectations below exist, recalling $\Delta = W - W'$, by exchangeability we have (see (3))



$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &= E(W - W')(f(W') + f(W)) \\
 &= 2\lambda(Ef(W)g(W) + Ef(W)R - E \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f'(W + t)\hat{K}(t)dt) \quad (24)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\hat{K}(t) = \frac{1}{2\lambda} E\{\Delta(\mathbf{1}\{-\Delta \leq t \leq 0\} - \mathbf{1}\{0 < t \leq -\Delta\}) \mid W\} \quad (25)$$

Note that here, we have

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{K}(t)dt = \frac{1}{2\lambda} E(\Delta^2 \mid W) \quad (26)$$

$$Ef(W)g(W) + Ef(W)R(W) = E \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f'(W + t)\hat{K}(t)dt \quad (27)$$

For a given function $g(y)$, let Y be a random variable with density function $p(y)$,

$$p(y) = c_1 e^{-G(y)}, \text{ where } G(y) = \int_0^y g(t) dt \quad (28)$$

with

$$c_1^{-1} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-G(y)} dy < \infty \quad (29)$$

Note that (28) implies

$$p'(y) = -g(y)p(y) \quad \text{for all } y \in (a, b). \quad (30)$$

Lemma 2.11

Let p be a density function and let

$$F(y) = \int_{-\infty}^y p(x) dx$$

be the associated distribution function. Further, let h be a measurable function and f_h the Stein solution. Suppose there exist $d_1 > 0$ and $d_2 > 0$ such that for all y we have

$$\min(1 - F(y), F(y)) \leq d_1 p(y)$$

$$|p'(y)| \min(F(y), 1 - F(y)) \leq d_2 p^2(y).$$

Then if h is bounded

$$\|f_h\| \leq 2d_1 \|h\| \quad (31)$$

$$\|f_h p' / p\| \leq 2d_2 \|h\| \quad (32)$$

$$\|f_h'\| \leq (2 + 2d_2) \|h\|. \quad (33)$$



For some cases, the following two conditions will help verify the hypotheses of Lemma 2.11 for densities of the form (28).

Conditions:

- ⊙1 The function $g(y)$ is non-decreasing and $yg(y) \geq 0$;
- ⊙2 The function g is absolutely continuous, and there exists $c_2 < \infty$ such that

$$\min \left(\frac{1}{c_1}, \frac{1}{|g(y)|} \right) \left(|y| + \frac{3}{c_1} \right) \max (1, |g'(y)|) \leq c_2$$

Lemma 2.12

Suppose that the density p is given by (28), and g satisfying Conditions (H1) (H2). and $E|g(Y)| < \infty$ for Y having density p . Then conditions and all the bounds in Lemma 2.11 on the solution f and its derivatives hold, with $d_1 = 1/c_1$, $d_2 = 1$ for all y .



Berry-Essen Bound with Bounded Exchangeable Pairs



Theorem 2.13

Let (W, W') be an exchangeable pair satisfying (3), and let Y have density (28), and g in (3) satisfying $E|g(Y)| < \infty$ and Conditions (H1) and (H2). If $\Delta = W - W'$ satisfies $|W - W'| \leq \delta$ for some constant δ then

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}} |P(W \leq z) - P(Y \leq z)| \\ & \leq 3E \left| 1 - \frac{1}{2\lambda} E(\Delta^2 | W) \right| + c_1 \max\{1, c_2\} \delta + \frac{2}{c_1} E|R| \\ & \quad + \delta^3 \lambda^{-1} \left\{ \left(2 + \frac{c_2}{2}\right) E|g(W)| + \frac{c_1 c_2}{2} \right\} \end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

proof: Since (34) is trivial when $c_1 c_2 \delta > 1$, we assume

$$c_1 c_2 \delta \leq 1 \quad (35)$$

Let F be the distribution function of Y and for $z \in \mathbb{R}$ let $f = f_z$ be the solution to the Stein equation

$$f'(w) - f(w)g(w) = \mathbf{1}(w \leq z) - F(z).$$

By Lemma 2.12, the bound (31) of Lemma 2.11 holds, so $\|f\| < \infty$. Letting $\hat{K}(t)$ be given by (25), in view of identities (26), (27), and that $|W - W'| \leq \delta$ and $\hat{K}(t) \geq 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & Ef(W)g(W) + Ef(W)r(W) \\ &= E \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f'(W+t)\hat{K}(t)dt \\ &= E \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} \{f(W+t)g(W+t) + \mathbf{1}(W+t \leq z) - F(z)\}\hat{K}(t)dt \\ &\geq E \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} f(W+t)g(W+t)\hat{K}(t)dt + \frac{1}{2\lambda} (E\mathbf{1}_{\{W \leq z-\delta\}}\Delta^2 - F(z)E\Delta^2) \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$



Using $F'(z) = p(z) \leq c_1$ by (28), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{1}{2\lambda} (E \mathbf{1}_{\{W \leq z - \delta\}} \Delta^2 - F(z) E \Delta^2) \\
 &= (E \mathbf{1}_{\{W \leq z - \delta\}} - F(z - \delta)) \\
 &\quad - E \left\{ (\mathbf{1}_{\{W \leq z - \delta\}} - F(z)) \left(1 - \frac{1}{2\lambda} E(\Delta^2 | W) \right) \right\} \quad (37) \\
 &\quad + (F(z - \delta) - F(z)) \\
 &\geq (P(W \leq z - \delta) - F(z - \delta)) \\
 &\quad - E \left| 1 - \frac{1}{2\lambda} E(\Delta^2 | W) \right| - c_1 \delta
 \end{aligned}$$



Combining(36), (37), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & P(W \leq z - \delta) - F(z - \delta) \\
 & \leq Ef(W)g(W) + Ef(W)R - E \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} f(W + t)g(W + t)\hat{K}(t)dt \\
 & \quad + E \left| 1 - \frac{1}{2\lambda} E(\Delta^2 | W) \right| + c_1\delta
 \end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

As $c_1\delta \leq c_1 \max(1, c_2)\delta$, if we want to prove one side of (34), prove the following is enough.

$$\begin{aligned}
 & Ef(W)g(W) + Ef(W)R - E \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} f(W + t)g(W + t)\hat{K}(t)dt \\
 & \leq 2E \left| 1 - \frac{1}{2\lambda} E(\Delta^2 | W) \right| + \frac{2}{c_1} E|r(W)| \\
 & \quad + \delta^3 \lambda^{-1} \{(2 + c_2/2) E|g(W)| + c_1 c_2/2\}
 \end{aligned} \tag{39}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
& Ef(W)g(W) + Ef(W)R - E \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} f(W+t)g(W+t)\hat{K}(t)dt \\
&= Ef(W)g(W) \left(1 - \frac{1}{2\lambda} E(\Delta^2 | W) \right) + Ef(W)R \\
&+ E \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} \{f(W)g(W) - f(W+t)g(W+t)\} \hat{K}(t)dt \\
&:= J_1 + J_2 + J_3.
\end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

Lemma 2.12 and (31), (32), and (33) of Lemma 2.11 yield, along with (28), that

$$\|f\| \leq 2/c_1, \quad \|fg\| \leq 2 \quad \text{and} \quad \|f'\| \leq 4. \tag{41}$$

$$|J_1| \leq 2E \left| 1 - \frac{1}{2\lambda} E(\Delta^2 | W) \right| \tag{42}$$

$$|J_2| \leq (2/c_1) E|R| \tag{43}$$



To bound J_3 , we first show that

$$\sup_{|t| \leq \delta} |g(w+t) - g(w)| \leq \frac{c_1 c_2 \delta}{2} (c_1 + |g(w)|). \quad (44)$$

From Condition (H2) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |g'(w)| &\leq \frac{c_1 c_2}{3 \min(1/c_1, 1/|g(w)|)} \\ &= \frac{c_1 c_2}{3} \max(c_1, |g(w)|) \\ &\leq \frac{c_1 c_2}{3} (c_1 + |g(w)|) \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$



Thus by the mean value theorem

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{|t| \leq \delta} |g(w+t) - g(w)| \\ & \leq \delta \sup_{|t| \leq \delta} |g'(w+t)| \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

$$\leq \frac{c_1 c_2 \delta}{3} (c_1 + |g(w)|) + \frac{1}{3} \sup_{|t| \leq \delta} |g(w+t) - g(w)|$$

by (35). This proves (44). Now, by (41) and (44), when $|t| \leq \delta$,

$$\begin{aligned} & |f(w)g(w) - f(w+t)g(w+t)| \\ & \leq |g(w)||f(w+t) - f(w)| + |f(w+t)||g(w+t) - g(w)| \\ & \leq 4|g(w)||t| + \frac{2}{c_1} \frac{c_1 c_2 \delta}{2} (c_1 + |g(w)|) \\ & \leq (4 + c_2) \delta |g(w)| + \delta c_1 c_2 \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
 |J_3| &\leq (4 + c_2) \delta E (|g(W)|\Delta^2/(2\lambda) + \delta c_1 c_2 E\Delta^2/(2\lambda)) \\
 &\leq \delta^3 \lambda^{-1} \{(2 + c_2/2) E|g(W)| + c_1 c_2/2\}
 \end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

Combining (40), (42), (43) and (47), we prove the (39).
 Similarly, one can demonstrate

$$\begin{aligned}
 &F(z + \delta) - P(W \leq z + \delta) \\
 &\leq 3E |1 - \frac{1}{2\lambda} E(\Delta^2 | W)| + c_1 \delta + 2E|R| / c_1 \\
 &\quad + \delta^3 \lambda^{-1} \{(2 + c_2/2) E|g(W)| + c_1 c_2/2\}
 \end{aligned}$$

As $c_1 \delta \leq c_1 \max(1, c_2) \delta$, the proof of (34) is complete.



1 Introduction

- Exchangeable Pairs
- Stein's Method via Density Approach

2 Main Results

- Nonnormal Approximation for Unbounded Exchangeable Pairs
- Nonnormal Approximation for Bounded Exchangeable Pairs

3 Application

- Quadratic Forms

Quadratic Forms



Theorem 3.1

Let X_1, X_2, \dots be i.i.d. random variables with a zero mean, unit variance and a finite fourth moment. Let $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j=1}^n$ be a real symmetric matrix with $a_{ii} = 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $\sigma_n^2 = 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}^2$. Put $W_n = \frac{1}{\sigma_n} \sum_{i \neq j} a_{ij} X_i X_j$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |\mathbb{P}(W_n \leq x) - \Phi(x)| \\ & \leq \frac{C E X_1^4}{\sigma_n^2} \left(\sqrt{\sum_i \left(\sum_j a_{ij}^2 \right)^2} + \sqrt{\sum_{i,j} \left(\sum_k a_{ik} a_{jk} \right)^2} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

where C is an absolute constant.

proof: Let $W_n = h(X_1, \dots, X_n)$, $W'_n = h(X_1, \dots, X'_l, \dots, X_n)$.
 where l be a random index uniformly distributed over $\{1, \dots, n\}$
 independent of any other random variable. and

$$X'_i \mid X_j, j \neq i \stackrel{d}{\sim} X_i \mid X_j, j \neq i$$

Then (W, W') is an exchangeable pair.

$$\Delta = W_n - W'_n = \frac{2}{\sigma_n} \sum_{j \neq l} a_{jl} X_j (X_l - X'_l)$$

Let $X = \sigma(X_1, \dots, X_n)$

$$\begin{aligned} E(\Delta \mid X) &= \frac{2}{\sigma_n} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j \neq i} E(a_{ji} X_j (X_i - X'_i) \mid X) \\ &= \frac{2}{n} W_n \end{aligned}$$

As such, condition (21) holds with $\lambda = 2/n$ and $R = 0$.



$$\frac{1}{2\lambda} E(\Delta^2 | X) = \frac{1}{\sigma_n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i^2 + 1) \left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} X_j \right)^2$$

Note that by the assumptions $\sigma_n^2 = 2 \sum_{i,j} a_{ij}^2$ and $a_{ii} = 0$, thus

$$E\left(\frac{1}{2\lambda} E(\Delta^2 | X)\right) = 1$$

$$\begin{aligned} \left| 1 - \frac{1}{2\lambda} E(\Delta^2 | W_n) \right|^2 &= \left| E\left[E\left(1 - \frac{1}{2\lambda} \Delta^2 | X\right) | W_n \right] \right|^2 \\ &\leq E\left\{ \left[E\left(1 - \frac{1}{2\lambda} \Delta^2 | X\right) \right]^2 | W_n \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$E\left| 1 - \frac{1}{2\lambda} E(\Delta^2 | W_n) \right|^2 \leq \text{Var} \left(\frac{1}{\sigma_n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i^2 + 1) \left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} X_j \right)^2 \right)$$

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Var} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i^2 + 1) \left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} X_j \right)^2 \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \text{Var} \left((X_i^2 + 1) \left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} X_j \right)^2 \right) \\ & \quad + \sum_{i \neq i'} \text{Cov} \left((X_i^2 + 1) \left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} X_j \right)^2, (X_{i'}^2 + 1) \left(\sum_{k=1}^n a_{i'k} X_k \right)^2 \right) \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

For the first term, recalling that $a_{ii} = 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^n \text{Var} \left((X_i^2 + 1) \left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} X_j \right)^2 \right) \\ & \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E} (X_i^2 + 1)^2 \mathbb{E} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} X_j \right)^4 \\ & \leq C (\mathbb{E} (X_1^4))^2 \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}^2 \right)^2 \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

where C is an absolute constant.



To bound the second term of (50), for any $i \neq k$ define

$$M_i = (X_i^2 + 1) \left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} X_j \right)^2$$

$$M_i^{(k)} = (X_i^2 + 1) \left(\sum_{j \neq k}^n a_{ij} X_j \right)^2$$

For the second term of (50), for any $i \neq i'$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Cov} \left((X_i^2 + 1) \left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} X_j \right)^2, (X_{i'}^2 + 1) \left(\sum_{k=1}^n a_{i'k} X_k \right)^2 \right) \\ &= \text{Cov} (M_i, M_{i'}) \\ &= \text{Cov} \left(M_i^{(i')}, M_{i'} \right) + \text{Cov} \left(M_i, M_{i'}^{(i)} \right) \\ &\quad - \text{Cov} \left(M_i^{(i')}, M_{i'}^{(i)} \right) + \text{Cov} \left(M_i - M_i^{(i')}, M_{i'} - M_{i'}^{(i)} \right) \end{aligned} \tag{52}$$

Given $\mathcal{F}_{ii'} := \sigma \{X_j, j \neq i, i'\}$, random variables $M_i^{(i')}$ and $M_{i'}^{(i)}$ are independent.

$$\text{Cov} \left(M_i^{(i')}, M_{i'}^{(i)} \right)$$

$$= \text{Cov} \left(\mathbb{E} \left((X_i^2 + 1) \left(\sum_{j \neq i'}^n a_{ij} X_j \right)^2 \mid \mathcal{F}_{i'} \right) \right.$$

$$\left. \mathbb{E} \left((X_{i'}^2 + 1) \left(\sum_{k \neq i}^n a_{i'k} X_k \right)^2 \mid \mathcal{F}_{i'} \right) \right)$$

$$= 4 \text{Cov} \left(\left(\sum_{j \neq i'}^n a_{ij} X_j \right)^2, \left(\sum_{k \neq i}^n a_{i'k} X_k \right)^2 \right)$$

$$\leq C \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}^2 a_{i'j}^2 \mathbb{E}(X_1^4) + C \left(\sum_{k=1}^n a_{ik} a_{i'k} \right)^2$$

Similar arguments hold for other terms of (52). Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i \neq i'} \text{Cov} \left((X_i^2 + 1) \left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} X_j \right)^2, (X_{i'}^2 + 1) \left(\sum_{k=1}^n a_{i'k} X_k \right)^2 \right) \\ & \leq C \mathbb{E}(X_1^4)^2 \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}^2 \right)^2 + \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n a_{ik} a_{jk} \right)^2 \right) \end{aligned} \quad (53)$$

It follows from (50), (51) and (53) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left| 1 - \frac{1}{2\lambda} \mathbb{E} (\Delta^2 \mid W_n) \right| \\ & \leq C\sigma_n^{-2} \mathbb{E} (X_1^4) \left(\sqrt{\sum_i \left(\sum_j a_{ij}^2 \right)^2} + \sqrt{\sum_{i,j} \left(\sum_k a_{ik} a_{jk} \right)^2} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (54)$$

Similarly, we can have:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\lambda} \mathbb{E} |\mathbb{E} (\Delta \mid \Delta) \mid W_n| \\ & \leq C\sigma_n^{-2} \mathbb{E} (X_1^4) \left(\sqrt{\sum_i \left(\sum_j a_{ij}^2 \right)^2} + \sqrt{\sum_{i,j} \left(\sum_k a_{ik} a_{jk} \right)^2} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (55)$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 3.1 by (54) and (55).

References



- 1 Shao, Qi-Man; Zhang, Zhuo-Song. Berry-Esseen bounds of normal and nonnormal approximation for unbounded exchangeable pairs. *Ann. Probab.* 47 (2019), no. 1, 61108.
- 2 Rinott, Yosef; Rotar, Vladimir. On coupling constructions and rates in the CLT for dependent summands with applications to the antivoter model and weighted U- statistics. *Ann. Appl. Probab.* 7 (1997), no. 4, 1080-1105.
- 3 Chen, Louis H.Y., Goldstein, Larry, & Shao, Qi-Man. (2013). *Normal Approximation by Steins Method*. Berlin: Springer Berlin. 350-352.



Thanks for listening!
Q&A